Template Version: C420170317 - RW

# APPENDIX 'A' GEOTECHNICAL REPORT



# **Appendix A**

**Test Hole and Pavement Core Location Plans** 

Figure: 02

## **EXPLANATION OF FIELD & LABORATORY TEST DATA**

		December	•		UMA	USCS		Laborator	y Classification Crite	eria
		Descript	ion		Log Symbols	Classification	Fines (%)	Grading	Plasticity	Notes
		CLEAN GRAVELS	Well graded sandy gravels or no f	s, with little	200	GW	0-5	C <sub>U</sub> > 4 1 < C <sub>C</sub> < 3		
	GRAVELS (More than 50% of coarse	(Little or no fines)	Poorly grade sandy gravel or no f	s, with little		GP	0-5	Not satisfying GW requirements	·	Dual symbols if 5
SOILS	fraction of gravel size)	DIRTY GRAVELS	Silty gravels, grave			GM	> 12		Atterberg limits below "A" line or W <sub>P</sub> <4	12% fines. Dual symbols if above "A" line and
AINED SO		(With some fines)	Clayey grave sandy g			GC	> 12		Atterberg limits above "A" line or W <sub>P</sub> <7	4 <w<sub>P&lt;7</w<sub>
COARSE GRAINED		CLEAN SANDS	Well grade gravelly sand or no f	s, with little	60 d 00 0	sw	0-5	C <sub>U</sub> > 6 1 < C <sub>C</sub> < 3		$C_U = \frac{D_{60}}{D_{10}}$
00	SANDS (More than 50% of	(Little or no fines)	Poorly grade gravelly sand or no f	s, with little	7,00	SP	0-5	Not satisfying SW requirements		$C_U = \frac{D_{60}}{D_{10}}$ $C_C = \frac{(D_{30})^2}{D_{10} x D_{60}}$
	coarse fraction of sand size)	DIRTY SANDS	Silty sa sand-silt n			SM	> 12		Atterberg limits below "A" line or W <sub>P</sub> <4	
		(With some fines)	Clayey s sand-clay i			sc	> 12		Atterberg limits above "A" line or W <sub>P</sub> <7	
	SILTS (Below 'A' line	W <sub>L</sub> <50	Inorganic sil clayey fine s slight pla	ands, with		ML				
	negligible organic content)	W <sub>L</sub> >50	Inorganic sil plastic			МН				
SOILS	CLAYS	W <sub>4</sub> <30	Inorganic cl clays, sandy low plasticity,	y clays of		CL				
FINE GRAINED	(Above 'A' line negligible organic	30 <w<sub>L&lt;50</w<sub>	Inorganic clays and silty clays of medium plasticity			CI			Classification is Based upon Plasticity Chart	
FINE	content)	W <sub>L</sub> >50	Inorganic cla plasticity, f			СН				
	ORGANIC SILTS & CLAYS	XV <sub>L</sub> <50	Organic si organic silty c plastic	lays of low		OL				
	(Below 'A	W <sub>L</sub> >50	Organic clay plastic		Viz	ОН				
H	IGHLY ORGA	INIC SOILS	Peat and oth organic			Pt		on Post fication Limit		r odour, and often s texture
Ty A		Asphalt			Till					
.4	<u> </u>	Concrete		_	edrock ferentiated)				AE(	COM
×		Fill			edrock nestone)					

When the above classification terms are used in this report or test hole logs, the designated fractions may be visually estimated and not measured.

NOT USED TO CLASSIFY SUBGRAPE. REFER TO CITY OF WINNIPEG SPECIFICATIONS FOR GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REQUIREMENTS FOR PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS (SEPTEMBER, 2015)

TO CITY OF WINNIPEG SPECIFICATIONS
FOR GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATIONS
REQUIREMENTS FOR PUBLIC WORKS

(SEPTEMBER, 2015)

50						
40		eart for solid fractions smaller than 425		СН		"A" Line
Plasticity Index I <sub>p</sub> (%)		CI			мн	
opset 20	CL		OL	ОН		
10 7	CL-ML	ML ML	U.			
1	10 20		40 50 quid Limit W <sub>L</sub> (%)		0 80	90

FRAC	CTION	SEIVE	SIZE (mm)	DEFINING F PERCENTAGI OF MINOR CO	E BY WEIGHT
		Passing	Retained	Percent	Identifier
Gravel	Coarse	76	19	35-50	
Giavei	Fine	19	4.75	35-50	and
	Coarse	4.75	2.00	20-35	u.,,, ., ., ., ., ., .,
Sand	Medium	2.00	0.425	20-35	"y" or "ey" *
	Fine	0.425	0.075	10-20	-
011.4				10-20	some
	ı-plastic) (plastic)	< 0.0	075 mm	1-10	trace
1					

PROJECTS

\* for example: gravelly, sandy clayey, silty

Definition of Oversize Material

COBBLES: 76mm to 300mm diameter BOULDERS: >300mm diameter

#### **LEGEND OF SYMBOLS**

Laboratory and field tests are identified as follows:

qu - undrained shear strength (kPa) derived from unconfined compression testing.

T<sub>v</sub> - undrained shear strength (kPa) measured using a torvane

pp - undrained shear strength (kPa) measured using a pocket penetrometer.

 $L_{\nu}$  - undrained shear strength (kPa) measured using a lab vane.

F<sub>v</sub> - undrained shear strength (kPa) measured using a field vane.

 $\gamma$  - bulk unit weight (kN/m<sup>3</sup>).

SPT - Standard Penetration Test. Recorded as number of blows (N) from a 63.5 kg hammer dropped 0.76 m (free fall) which is required to drive a 51 mm O.D. Raymond type sampler 0.30 m into the soil.

DPPT - Drive Point Pentrometer Test. Recorded as number of blows from a 63.5 kg hammer dropped 0.76 m (free fall) which is required to drive a 50 mm drive point 0.30 m into the soil.

w - moisture content (W<sub>L</sub>, W<sub>P</sub>)

The undrained shear strength (Su) of a cohesive soil can be related to its consistency as follows:

Su (kPa)	CONSISTENCY
<12	very soft
12 – 25	soft
25 – 50	medium or firm
50 – 100	stiff
100 – 200	very stiff
200	hard

The resistance (N) of a non-cohesive soil can be related to compactness condition as follows

N – BLOWS/0.30 m	COMPACTNESS
0 - 4	very loose
4 - 10	loose
10 - 30	compact
30 - 50	dense
50	very dense

The City of Winnipeg Specifications RFP No. 38-2015 Specifications Page 2 of 3

#### F2. SEWER TELEVISING GUIDELINES FOR PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS (JANUARY 2009)

- F2.1 The Consultant is required to assess the extent of Closed Circuit Television (CCTV) inspection for all combined, wastewater, land drainage and storm relief sewers to confirm any sewer repairs required in the right-of-way within the limits of the street renewal.
- F2.2 The criteria provided are general guidelines and are not intended to replace sound municipal engineering judgement specific to the individual Project scope and/or location.
- F2.3 The available sewer televising information is contained within the City of Winnipeg's Sewer Management System (SMS) application.
- F2.4 Confirm televising requirements with Project Manager.
- F2.5 CCTV inspection general guidelines:

Template Version: SrC120150116 - Consulting Services RFP

- (a) Confirm CCTV requirements with Water & Waste Department for sewers 1050 mm and larger in diameter;
- (b) Televise if no previous CCTV inspections have been completed;
- (c) Re-televise sewers in Categories A/B/C/X with a Structural Performance Grade (SPG) of 3 or higher that have not been televised in the previous 5 years;
- (d) Sewers located more than two metres from the curb line (i.e. not located under pavement) do not need to be re-televised if previous CCTV inspection data exist. If a sewer repair or renewal requiring excavation is noted, contact the WWD;
- (e) On all street reconstructions, regardless of location of the sewer (within the right-of-way);
- (f) If the street exhibits obvious distress at/along the underground plant;
- (g) Of all CB leads to be reused, as part of a street reconstruction or major rehabilitation.
- F2.6 For any uncertain situations and/or locations, contact the Project Manager.
- F2.7 The Consultant is required to coordinate the sewer-televising contract and communicate the results to the Water & Waste Department. Any repairs or other activities deemed necessary from these inspections must be coordinated with the Water & Waste Department.

# F3. GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REQUIREMENTS FOR PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS (OCTOBER 2008)

#### F3.1 Fieldwork

- (a) Clear all underground services at each test-hole location.
- (b) As this street project is greater than 500 metres, test holes may be taken every 100 m. More or fewer test-holes may be required depending upon Site conditions – confirm with the Project Manager.
- (c) Record location of test-hole (offset from curb, distance from cross street and house number).
- (d) Drill 150 mm-diameter cores in pavement.
- (e) Drill 125 mm-diameter test-holes into fill materials and subgrade.
- (f) If a service trench backfilled with granular materials is encountered, another hole shall be drilled to define the existing sub-surface conditions.
- (g) Test-holes shall be drilled to depth of 2 m ±150 mm below surface of the pavement.
- (h) Recover pavement core sample and representative samples of soil (fill materials, pavement structure materials and subgrade).
- (i) Measure and record pavement section exposed in the test-hole (thickness of concrete or asphalt and different types of pavement structure materials).

The City of Winnipeg RFP No. 38-2015

Specifications Page 3 of 3

Template Version: SrC120150116 - Consulting Services RFP

- (j) Pavement structure materials to be identified as crushed limestone or granular fill and the maximum aggregate size of the material (20 mm, 50 mm or 150 mm).
- (k) Log soil profile for the subgrade.
- (I) Representative samples of soil must be obtained at the following depths below the bottom of the pavement structure materials 0.1 m, 0.4 m, 0.7 m, 1.0 m, 1.3 m, 1.6 m, etc. Ensure a sample is obtained from each soil type encountered in the test-hole.
- (m) Make note of any water seepage into the test-hole.
- (n) Backfill test-hole with native materials and additional granular fill, if required. Patch pavement surface with hot mix asphalt or high strength durable concrete mix.
- (o) Return core sample from the pavement and soil samples to the laboratory.

#### F3.2 Lab Work

- (a) Test all soil samples for moisture content.
- (b) Photograph core samples recovered from the pavement surface.
- (c) Conduct tests for plasticity index and hydrometer analysis on selected soil samples which are between 0.5 m and 1 m below top of pavement (this is the sub-grade on which the pavement and sub-base will be built). The selection will be based upon visual classification and moisture content test results, with a minimum of one sample of each soil type per street to be tested.
- (d) Prepare test-hole logs and classify subgrade (based on hydrometer) as follows:

< 30% silt</li>
- classify as clay
30% - 50% silt
- classify as silty clay
50% - 70% silt
- classify as clayey silt
> 70% silt
- classify as silt

(e) For any uncertain situations and/or locations, or clarification of these requirements, contact the Project Manager.

PROJ	ECT:	Downtown Pavement Renewals	- 17-B-02	С	LIEN	T: C	ity of	Winr	nipeo						TE:	STHOLE NO: TH17-0	)3
LOCA	TION	: 14U - 0633298 m E, 5528745 n	n N, Donald Street, 5.49												PR	OJECT NO.: 6054068	86
		TOR: Maple Leaf Drilling Ltd.						:-mo	<u>unte</u>	d CN	1E 55	5, 125	mm S			EVATION (m): N/A	
SAMP	LE T	YPE GRAB	SHELBY TUBE	$\boxtimes$	SPLI	T SPO	ON		В	ULK				NO RI	ECOVE	RY CORE	
DEPTH (m)	SOIL SYMBOL			SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE #	SPT (N)	◆ SF 0 2	# Becker #			+ Torv X QU □ Lab ' Δ Pocke ♣ Field (kF	vane + J/2 X Vane ⊑ et Pen. v Vane <b>€</b> Pa)	] △ ••	COMMENTS	DEPTH		
0		ASPHALT - 83 mm					2	0 4	0 6	0 8	0 100	5	0 10	00 1	150 200		
-		CONCRETE - 476 mm		1													-
															 !		
Ī											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				:		-
+															:		-
-											: · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				:		-
	$\otimes\!\!\!\otimes$	SAND and GRAVEL FILL - 102 mm									:				:		_
		CLAY - some silt, some sand									:				:	(G2): Gravel: 0.0%,	
<b>†</b>		- dark brown, frozen to 1.1 m			G2		ļ <u>!</u>	•		-1						Sand: 16.4%, Silt: 21.4%, Clay: 62.3%	-
F		- high plasticity - (G2): LL = 66.7%, PL = 17.9%									:				:	21.170, Oldy. 02.070	-
-		(/					ļ				<u>:</u> :				:		_
1					G3						:				:		1-
Γ'					03		ļ								.;		-
+		- firm, dry to moist below 1.1 m															-
-							ļ				: : :				<u>:</u>		-
L					G4						:				:		_
					0		ļ				: :				.; .,		
-																	-
+							ļ				<u>.</u>				<u>:</u>		-
-		SILT - clayey, some sand to sandy - light brown, soft, moist			G5						:				:		
		- low to intermediate plasticity									<u>.</u>				. <del>.</del>		
Ī															:		-
-							ļ								<u>:</u>		-
-					G6		١,								:		-
-2 -2		END OF TECT LIQUE AT A	LOO IN CIL T				ļ								.; 		2 –
7 4/2		END OF TEST HOLE AT 1	1.98 M IN SIL I												:		
9-		Notes: 1. No seepage observed during drilling	1				ļ				: :				<u>.</u>		-
<b>Ž</b>  -		<ol><li>Test hole open to 1.6 m upon remov</li></ol>	al of auger.								:				:		-
AMP-		<ol><li>Test hole backfilled with drill cuttings patched with asphalt upon completion.</li></ol>	and bentonite and								: ! · · · · ·				÷		-
GG GG															:		
EX1.										:  :	: :				<u>:</u>		
10 A											:				:		-
S9C -											 :						-
											:				:		.
띪											: :				÷		
MO P											:				:		-
LOG OF TEST HOLE BOREHOLE LOGS TC REV1.6PJ UMA WINN.GDT 4/27/17								• • • • • •									-
3							100	CED	BY:	Toos	. Ch-	icti		: 	:	ETION DEPTH: 1.98 m	
님		AECON	4						ED BY							ETION DEPTH: 1.98 m ETION DATE: 3/27/17	
9												Cevin F	Rae	Ť			1 of 1

		Downtown Pavement Renewals - 17-B-02			IT: C		Win	nipeç							STHOLE NO: TH17-0	
		: 14U - 0633276 m E, 5528716 m N, Donald Street, 5.4 TOR: Maple Leaf Drilling Ltd.							1.01	<u> </u>	. 105		· · · ·		OJECT NO.: 6054068	86
SAME					I <u>OD:</u> IT SPO			unte B		/IE 55	, 125	mm S		COVE	EVATION (m): N/A RY	
DEPTH (m)	SOIL SYMBOL	SOIL		SAMPLE #	SPT (N)	◆ SF 0 2	PENETI  # Opyn PT (Sta (Blo) 0 4  Tota 7 1:	RATION Becker amic C undard I uws/300 0 6 tal Unit (kN/m <sup>3</sup> 8 19	NTEST  T  Cone  Pen Te  Imm) 0 8  Wt  U  Liqu	est) •  80 100  0 21		H Torv  X QU  □ Lab  Δ Pocke  Field  (KF	EAR STE vane + J/2 X Vane □ et Pen. Δ Vane •	RENGTH	COMMENTS	ОЕРТН
0		ASPHALT - 210 mm				2	0 4	10 6	0 8	30 100 :	5	0 10	00 1	50 200 :		
-	****	CONCRETE - 114 mm  WOOD - streetcar tie - 152 mm														-
								ļ								
-		SAND and GRAVEL FILL - 57 mm  CLAY - trace to some silt - dark brown, frozen to 0.9 m		G2			•									- - -
- -1		- firm, dry to moist, high plasticity below 0.9 m  SILT - clayey, some sand		G3			•									- 1 <i>-</i> -
- -		- light brown, firm, dry to moist - low plasticity - (G4): LL = 26.2%, PL = 15.4%		G4		н	•								(G4): Gravel: 0.0%, Sand: 19.2%, Silt: 61.0%, Clay: 19.9%	- - -
-				G5		•	•									-
				G6				:		:						-
1.GDT 4/27/17		END OF TEST HOLE AT 1.98 m IN SILT  Notes:  1. No seepage observed during drilling.		Go												2
GPJ UMA WINN		Wooden streetcar tie encountered 0.3 m below ground surface     Test hole open to 1.7 m upon removal of auger.     Test hole backfilled with drill cuttings and bentonite and patched with asphalt upon completion.						: : : : : : : :		: : : : : :			,			-
OGS TC REV1.																-
LOG OF TEST HOLE BOREHOLE LOGS TC REV1.GPJ UMA WINN.GDT 4/27/17   E  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C  C																-
¥ 3						100		:	T-	:	at!		: 	ON 451.	TION DEDTIL 4.00	
유 T		AECOM				_				sa Chr ex Hill					ETION DEPTH: 1.98 m ETION DATE: 3/27/17	
99											Cevin R	Rae				1 of 1

PROJ	ECT:	Downtown Pavement Renewals	s - 17-B-02	С	LIEN	IT: C	ity of	Win	nipeg	1					TE	STHOLE NO: TH17-0	)8
		: 14U - 0633295 m E, 5528533 r	m N, Donald Street, 4.4	2 m	Wo	f E cu	rb								PR	OJECT NO.: 6054068	36
-		TOR: Maple Leaf Drilling Ltd.						k-mo	unte	d CN	1E 55	5, 125	mm S			EVATION (m): N/A	
SAMP	PLE T	YPE GRAB	SHELBY TUBE	$\perp \!$	SPL	IT SPO	1		В			1			COVE		
DEPTH (m)	-   <del> </del>		IPTION	SAMPLE TYPE	SAMPLE #	# Becker # + Torvane +		<b>&gt;</b>	COMMENTS	ОЕРТН							
0		ASPHALT - 51 mm					2	0 4	10 - 6	0 8	100	5	0 10	0 1	50 200		
-		CONCRETE - 305 mm															- -
+	$\bigotimes$	SAND and GRAVEL FILL - 102 mm						:	:	:	:				:		-
-		CLAY - silty, some sand, some organi - dark grey to black, firm, dry to moist - high plasticity - silt laminations < 5 mm thick	cs														- -
-		- (G1): LL = 73.1%, PL = 19.1%			G1		ļ	•								(G1): Gravel: 0.0%, Sand: 15.8%, Silt: 30.1%, Clay: 54.1%	_
_1 1		SILT - clayey, some sand to sandy - light brown, soft to firm, moist - low to intermediate plasticity															1-
-					G2			•									-
-		CLAY - trace to some silt - brown, firm to stiff, dry to moist - high plasticity			G3												-
-		- (G4): LL = 65.4%, PL = 20.5%			G4			•		<del> </del>							-
- - -2 -2 -2					G5			•						••••			2
OMA WINN.		END OF TEST HOLE AT 2	2.20 m IN CLAV		G6				•	· · · · · ·							-
LOG OF TEST HOLE BOREHOLE LOGS TC REVIGED UMA WINN GDT 4/27/17		Notes:  1. No seepage observed during drilling 2. Test hole open to 2.2 m upon remov 3. Test hole backfilled with drill cutting: patched with asphalt upon completion	g. val of auger. s and bentonite and														- - - -
HOLE BC							ļ	· · · · · ·	: !	· · · · · ·	: !						-
2	1	A = 00 1							BY:							ETION DEPTH: 2.29 m	
5		AECOM	7						ED B					C	OMPL	ETION DATE: 3/30/17	4
3							PR0	NEC.	I EN	JINE	ER: I	Kevin F	≀ae			Page	1 of 1



# City of Winnipeg

## Downtown Pavement Renewals, Protected Bike Lanes & Streetscaping 17-B-02 – Garry Street/Donald Street/Notre Dame Avenue

# Geotechnical Investigation

Table 01- Summary of Laboratory Soil Testing

Test Hole		Pavement Stru	cture		Sample	Moisture		Hydromet	er Analysis		A	tterberg Lin	nits
No.	Test Hole Location	Туре	Thickness (mm)	Subgrade Description *	Depth (m)	Content (%)	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index
	Garry Street	Asphalt	254	CLAYEY SANDY SILT	0.6	21.9							
	14U – 0633476 m E,	Aspirait	254	CLAYEY SANDY SILT	0.9	23.2	0.1	24.5	49.1	26.3	32.3	13.5	18.8
TH17-01	5528692 m N			CLAYEY SANDY SILT	1.2	29.1							
	5.2 m E of W curb	Concrete	330	CLAYEY SANDY SILT	1.5	21.4							
	3.2 m 2 01 VV cd. 5			CLAYEY SANDY SILT	1.8	21.5							
		Acabalt	165	CLAY	0.6	27.1							
	Garry Street	Asphalt	105	CLAY	0.9	29.7							
TH17-02	14U – 0633489 m E, 5528581 m N			CLAY	1.2	28.3							
	5.3 m E of W curb	Concrete	324	CLAY	1.5	27.7							
	3.3 III E OI W CUID			CLAY	1.8	27.8							
				CLAY	0.7	29.5	0.0	16.4	21.4	62.3	66.7	17.9	48.8
	Donald Street	Asphalt	83	CLAY	0.9	29.4							
TH17-03	14U – 0633298 m E,			CLAY	1.2	33.8							
	5528745 m N	Concrete	476	CLAYEY SANDY SILT	1.5	28.5							
	5.5 m E of W curb			CLAYEY SANDY SILT	1.8	23.1							
			212	CLAY	0.6	33.7							
	Donald Street	Asphalt	210	CLAY	0.9	30.6							
TH17-04	14U – 0633276 m E,			CLAY	1.2	22.7	0.0	19.2	61.0	20.0	26.2	15.4	10.8
	5528716 m N 5.5 m E of W curb	Concrete	114	CLAYEY SILT	1.5	20.5							
	5.5 III E OI W CUID			CLAYEY SILT	1.8	21.5							
		Asphalt	89										
TU47.05	Notre Dame Avenue	·											
TH17-05	14U – 0633572 m E,												
	5528686 m N	Concrete	191										
	Notre Dame Avenue	A 1 1	200	CLAY	0.9	33.5							
TU47.06	14U – 0633493 m E,	Asphalt	203	CLAY	1.2	29.6							
TH17-06	5528680 m N	C-****	400	CLAY	1.5	29.2	0.1	4.8	25.7	69.4	70.8	19.9	50.9
	5.0 m W of E curb	Concrete	406	CLAY	1.8	27.6							

<sup>\*</sup> Note – Subgrade Description based on City of Winnipeg Specifications for Geotechnical Investigation Requirements for Public Works Projects (September 2015)



Test Hole		Pavement Stru	cture		Sample	Moisture		Hydrometo	er Analysis		A	Atterberg Limit  Liquid Plastic Limit Limit  42.9 19.9	its
No.	Test Hole Location	Туре	Thickness (mm)	Subgrade Description *	Depth (m)	Content (%)	Gravel (%)	Sand (%)	Silt (%)	Clay (%)	-		Plasticity Index
				SILTY SANDY CLAY	0.8	27.6	7.3	23.5	34.1	35.1	42.9	19.9	22.9
		Asphalt	114	SILTY SANDY CLAY	1.1	29.7							
	Notre Dame Avenue			CLAYEY SILT	1.2	25.5							
TH17-07	14U - 0633421 m E, 5528728 m N			SILTY SANDY CLAY	1.4	22.3							
	5.0 m W of E curb	Camanata	470	SILTY SANDY CLAY	1.7	21.3							
	3.0 III W 01 E carb	Concrete	470	CLAY	2.0	30.7							
				CLAY	2.1	41.3							
				CLAY	0.8	31.5	0.0	15.8	30.1	54.1	73.1	19.1	54.0
	Donald Street	Asphalt	51	CLAYEY SILT	1.1	29.5							
TH17-08	14U – 0633295 m E,			CLAY	1.4	18.3							
1017-00	5528533 m N			CLAY	1.7	30.6					65.4	20.5	44.9
	4.42 m W of E curb	Concrete	305	CLAY	2.0	32.9							
				CLAY	2.1	43.0							

<sup>\*</sup> Note – Subgrade Description based on City of Winnipeg Specifications for Geotechnical Investigation Requirements for Public Works Projects (September 2015)



Photograph 3: Test Hole TH17-03 - Donald Street



Photograph 4: Test Hole TH17-04 - Donald Street



Photograph 7: Test Hole TH17-07 - Notre Dame Avenue



Photograph 8: Test Hole TH17-08 - Donald Street